

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,612	BOLL, DAVID W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Gagliostro	2615	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Kevin M. Gagliostro.

 (3) Michael J. D'Aurelio (703)-933-9500.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 July 2005
Time: 3:00 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16, 18, 20, and 21


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Regarding claim 16:

The preamble is improper in that "The system of claim 15, further comprising:" should be changed to "A system for controlling display of images on a display comprising." The corrected preamble is what was originally disclosed in the cancelled claim 15 and is now part of claim 16.

Regarding claim 18:

"A computer readable mediumn having a program for controlling..." should be changed to "A computer readable medium having a program ezeutable by a computer for controlling..." The correction overcomes a potential 101 rejection of claim 18.

Regarding claim 20:

"The system of claim 19..." should be changed to "The display interface of claim 19..." The corrected preamble continues with what was originally disclosed in claim 19.

Regarding claim 21:

"A computer readable medium having a program for controlling..." shoud be changed to "A computer readable medium having a program executable by a computer for controlling..." The correction overcomes a potential 101 rejection of claim 21.